

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA**

**REVISED CHIEF HEARING OFFICER DIRECTIVE**

**DOCKET NO. 2020-229-E ORDER NO. 2021-6-H**

**JANUARY 25, 2021**

**CHIEF HEARING OFFICER: David Butler**

**DOCKET DESCRIPTION:**

**Dominion Energy South Carolina, Incorporated's Establishment of a Solar Choice Metering Tariff Pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. Section 58-40-20 (See Docket No. 2019-182-E)**

**MATTER UNDER CONSIDERATION:**

**Petition to Intervene of Frank Knapp, Jr.**

**CHIEF HEARING OFFICER'S ACTION:**

**This revised Directive is being issued to correct the Docket Number. No other changes have been made to the Directive.**

**This matter comes before the Chief Hearing Officer on the Petition to Intervene of Frank Knapp, Jr.**

**The Petition is timely filed, and no objections to the intervention have been filed.**

**After it has been determined that the Petition has been timely filed, the next question for the Commission is to determine whether or not the petitioning party has clear factual support or grounds for the proposed intervention. S.C. Regs. 103-825A(3) requires that parties making a Petition to Intervene in a matter pending before the SC Public Service Commission must:**

**set forth clearly and concisely:**

- (a) The facts from which the nature of the petitioner's alleged right or interest can be determined;**
- (b) The grounds of the proposed intervention;**
- (c) The position of the petitioner in the proceeding.**

**Mr. Knapp clearly sets out the facts from which the nature of the alleged right or interest can be determined, the grounds of the proposed intervention, and his position in this case. In this proceeding the Commission will determine a solar choice metering tariff including a methodology to compensate customer-generators for the benefits provided by their generation to the power system. He is a residential and a commercial customer of Dominion Energy South Carolina. He owns two commercial buildings that have solar panels. His position in this docket is that the proposed changes to the methodology to**

**compensate customer-generators will have a detrimental effect on his business financial position.**

**From these facts, this Hearing Officer holds that Mr. Knapp has successfully satisfied the criteria for intervention stated in the Regulation. His interest in this matter can clearly be discerned, as can the grounds for the intervention. Further, the position of the Petitioner is clearly stated.**

**As previously stated, the Petition to Intervene was timely filed and there are no objections to the intervention. Accordingly, the Petition to Intervene of Frank Knapp, Jr. is hereby granted in this Docket. This ends the Chief Hearing Officer's Directive.**